CSCI P465/565 (Software Engineering I)

3600 Peer Evaluation Form

|  |
| --- |
| **Purpose of peer evaluation** |
| The purpose of peer evaluation is to provide SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and timely) feedback to the instructor on project activities. This observation is but one method to strengthen the effectiveness of course project to achieve course goals. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **General Information** | |
| Student Name: | Rishi Sanjaykumar Patel |
| Group Number: | 38 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Self-Evaluation** | | | | | |
| *Criterion* | *Strongly Agree / Excellent* | *Agree /*  *Good* | *Disagree /*  *Not Good* | *Strongly Disagree*  */ Poor* | *N/A* |
| Did you find the course project challenging? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Do you feel that the experience of working on the project (*from an idea to the product*) will help you in your career? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Did you enjoy working on the course project? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Additional Comments:  The course project is indeed challenging, but it provides an excellent opportunity to apply and expand my knowledge and problem-solving skills. The experience of working on the project, from conceptualization till now, has been incredibly valuable. It not only enhanced my technical abilities but also taught me teamwork and project management, which I believe will be instrumental in my future career. I am genuinely enjoying working on the course project. The sense of accomplishment and the practical skills gained from the experience made it a fulfilling and enjoyable journey. | | | | | |
| **Customer / AI Evaluation** | | | | | |
| *Criterion* | *Strongly Agree / Excellent* | *Agree /*  *Good* | *Disagree /*  *Not Good* | *Strongly Disagree*  */ Poor* | *N/A* |
| Based on your interaction with the customer, rate the following: |  | | | | |
| *The clarity of requirements* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *Helpfulness / Timely Responsiveness* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *Availability for meetings* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *The level of Coding Support / Guidance provided* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *The level of Non-Coding Support / Guidance provided* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | |
| Will you like to work with the customer again? *If not, (in comments below) highlight why.* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Additional Comments:  Our customer has been an invaluable asset to our group throughout this project. Despite the challenges of coordinating meetings with team members who have varying schedules, our customer consistently made themselves available whenever we reached out. Notably, their willingness to hold meetings on Sundays is a testament to their commitment and dedication. Their guidance and support have been instrumental in steering our project in the right direction. Their expertise and insight have not only helped us overcome obstacles but have also enriched our understanding of the project's goals. We are genuinely appreciative of the exceptional job she have done in guiding us, and their proactive involvement has been a key factor in our project's success. Their contributions have undoubtedly made this group project a rewarding and productive experience. | | | | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Overall Group Effort Evaluation** | | |
| If you were rewarded $28 for your hard work this week and had to distribute this among your teammates, how much would you give to each member? (Your justifications will be shared with the respective teammate.) | | |
| Group Member Name | Amount | Justification (REQUIRED) |
| ***Zane Ellis Snider*** | $7 |  |
| ***Sri Rashmitha Boya*** | $7 |  |
| ***Harshitha Nooli*** | $7 |  |
| Justification (REQUIRED)  To date, each member of our team has consistently and equitably completed their assigned tasks. We are pleased to report that the division of responsibilities was not only fair but also well-received by every individual on the team. This balanced distribution of work has fostered a positive and cooperative atmosphere within our group. As a result, we have witnessed a high level of engagement, commitment, and enthusiasm from each team member. This collaborative spirit has not only made our project more efficient but has also cultivated a sense of unity and shared purpose, contributing to the overall success of our group efforts. | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Overall Group Evaluation** | | | | | |
| *Criterion* | *Strongly Agree / Excellent* | *Agree /*  *Good* | *Disagree /*  *Not Good* | *Strongly Disagree*  */ Poor* | *N/A* |
| Do you feel the size of the group was appropriate? |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Do you feel that each group must have the following expertise / qualities: |  | | | | |
| *Databases* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *Programming Language* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *Web Design / Web Programming* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *Industrial Experience* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *Leadership / Management Experience* |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| *Quality Assurance Experience* |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| *Team work Experience* |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| *Good Communication / Writing Skills* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| *Interpersonal (Social) Skills* | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | |
| Do you feel you chose the right group members? *If not, (in comments below) highlight why.* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional Comments:  Choosing the right group members is a crucial aspect of project success. In our case, the selection process was well-thought-out and effective. Each member brought a unique set of skills and qualities to the table, contributing to a well-rounded team. We had the necessary expertise in databases, programming languages, web design/programming, and industrial experience, which ensured that our project was not only technically sound but also aligned with practical industry standards. The presence of leadership, quality assurance, teamwork, and good communication skills further enriched our collaborative efforts. This diversity allowed us to approach challenges from different angles, fostering creativity and innovation within the group. It was a well-balanced team that greatly contributed to our project's overall success.Top of Form | | | | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Individual Peer Evaluation** | | |
| Group Member Name: ***Zane Ellis Snider*** | | |
| *Any score below average must include constructive criticism in the comments field. Outstanding ratings also require a comment explaining the outstanding rating.* (Your justifications will be anonymously shared with the respective teammate.) | | |
| *Criterion* | *Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5 Outstanding* | |
| Competency / Knowledge / Experience | 5 |  |
| Technical skills (Programming, Web, etc.) | 5 |  |
| Non-technical skills (social, interpersonal, etc.) | 5 |  |
| Motivation / Enthusiasm | 5 |  |
| Overall Attitude | 5 |  |
| Leadership / Management Skills | 5 |  |
| Reliability & Trustworthiness | 4 |  |
| **Total Score** | 34 | Maximum: 35 |
| Additional Comments (*required*):  Zane has consistently demonstrated a remarkable level of competency, knowledge, and experience in our project's subject matter. Their contributions have been invaluable, showcasing their deep understanding of the project's requirements and objectives. Moreover, their non-technical skills, particularly their social and interpersonal abilities, have significantly enhanced our team dynamics. Zane is an exceptional communicator, fostering a collaborative and positive team environment, and their motivation and enthusiasm are consistently evident in their work. The only area for improvement is in reliability and trustworthiness, where there may be room for a slight enhancement. Nevertheless, Zane's overall attitude and contributions to the team have been nothing short of exceptional, making them a highly valuable member of our group.  Top of Form | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Individual Peer Evaluation** | | |
| Group Member Name: ***Sri Rashmitha Boya*** | | |
| *Any score below average must include constructive criticism in the comments field. Outstanding ratings also require a comment explaining the outstanding rating.* (Your justifications will be anonymously shared with the respective teammate.) | | |
| *Criterion* | *Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5 Outstanding* | |
| Competency / Knowledge / Experience | 5 |  |
| Technical skills (Programming, Web, etc.) | 5 |  |
| Non-technical skills (social, interpersonal, etc.) | 5 |  |
| Motivation / Enthusiasm | 5 |  |
| Overall Attitude | 5 |  |
| Leadership / Management Skills | 5 |  |
| Reliability & Trustworthiness | 5 |  |
| **Total Score** | 35 | Maximum: 35 |
| Additional Comments (*required*):  Sri Rashmitha has consistently displayed an exceptional level of competency, knowledge, and experience throughout our project. Her motivation and enthusiasm are truly remarkable. Sri Rashmitha's boundless energy, unwavering commitment, and positive attitude have been a driving force for the entire team, keeping everyone engaged and inspired. She also excels in non-technical skills, particularly her social and interpersonal abilities. Furthermore, her leadership and management skills have been highly effective, providing guidance and direction when needed. Sri Rashmitha's unwavering reliability and trustworthiness have made her a dependable and invaluable member of our group. Overall, she has consistently displayed outstanding qualities that have greatly contributed to our project's overall success. | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Individual Peer Evaluation** | | |
| Group Member Name: ***Harshitha Nooli*** | | |
| *Any score below average must include constructive criticism in the comments field. Outstanding ratings also require a comment explaining the outstanding rating.* (Your justifications will be anonymously shared with the respective teammate.) | | |
| *Criterion* | *Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5 Outstanding* | |
| Competency / Knowledge / Experience | 5 |  |
| Technical skills (Programming, Web, etc.) | 5 |  |
| Non-technical skills (social, interpersonal, etc.) | 5 |  |
| Motivation / Enthusiasm | 5 |  |
| Overall Attitude | 5 |  |
| Leadership / Management Skills | 5 |  |
| Reliability & Trustworthiness | 5 |  |
| **Total Score** | 35 | Maximum: 35 |
| Additional Comments (*required*):  Harshitha’s technical skills, particularly in programming and web development, have been exceptional and have greatly contributed to the project's success. Harshitha's non-technical skills, including social and interpersonal abilities, have been highly effective in fostering a positive and collaborative team environment. She excels in motivation and enthusiasm, consistently approaching tasks with dedication and a can-do spirit. Harshitha's overall attitude is commendable, as she consistently maintains a positive and proactive outlook. Moreover, her reliability and trustworthiness have instilled confidence among the team members, making her a dependable and respected member of our group. Harshitha's contributions have been outstanding, and her positive attitude has greatly enriched our collaborative efforts." | | |